

VILLAGE OF PALMYRA BOARD/TOWN OF MACEDON
July 10, 1989 - 7:30 p.m.

Present:

Palmyra - Mayor James G. Elliott, Trustees Penelope K. Frontuto, C. D. Gilmore, Daniel S. Pope III and Daniel E. Wooden and Village Atty., John B. Nesbitt.

Macedon - Supervisor Stuart Carlson, Councilmen Richard Kassel, Jr., Art Ainsworth, Richard Nunan, Steve Bumpus and Town Atty., Samuel Bonafede.

Review of
Prior VB
Action:

Mayor Elliott reviewed past action by the Village Board of Palmyra - 2/21/89, "That the Village of Palmyra indicate to the Town of Macedon that the Village of Palmyra would entertain a proposal to hook in a district that would encompass the land owned by Robert Nolan for a Sewer District and/or Water District to be served by the Palmyra mains." The foregoing motion was passed unanimously.

During the Board's 5/15/89 meeting a motion - "That the Village of Palmyra request Robert Nolan to seek annexation into the Village of Palmyra" - was defeated (3-2 vote).

The VB, during a meeting on 6/12/89 (recessed frm. 6/5/89) voted unanimously (Trustee Frontuto was absent) "That the VOP Village Bd. not entertain any requests for sewer service except to residents of properties in the VOP."

During the Board's 6/29/89 meeting the following motion was passed by Board majority (3-2 vote): "That the Village of Palmyra would consider a proposal from the Town of Macedon whereby the Village of Palmyra would extend sewers for the Robert Nolan property only, without requiring annexation to the Village of Palmyra."

Supv.
Carlson:

Mr. Carlson voiced his opinion - something between the VOP/developer...wld. have liked to have seen Burnham Hts. residents to be given option of joining district...for the four who are 'on' sewers, if an agreement between two municipalities cld. result in favorable situation as far as sewer rentals - to their advantage to be part of the district.....those that are not on sewers, wld. straighten out the unique situation...give them the opportunity to be part of district.....wld. straighten out for VOP who has no contract wth. four residents....wld. be controlled by an agreement....was not thinking of 'forcing' them but give them the option....wld. depend upon the conditions of an agreement between two municipalities....what charges to be for sewer rentals.....may be disadvantage to those 'on' sewers.

Mr. Carlson spke. of TOM agreement wth. Walworth for a sewer district in Gananda..... Macedon collects sewer rentals...pay them...pay same as Walworth residents...add sm. administrative fee (\$5.00)... direction shld. come frm. VOP. Trustee Frontuto reiterated that TOM wld. be in agreement that a district be formed, to which Mr. Carlson answered in the affirmative. If project approved, no problem wth. forming a district... agreement between two municipalities - TOM wld. collect sewer rentals/pay VOP....providing project approved....what put in district - what houses???? terms of the agreement???? Must come frm. both Bds.

000256

Trustee Pope asked if project approval foregone conclusion - Mr. Carlson did not think so...some questions...if addressed satisfactorily, may be no reason not to approve sme....drainage questions...continuing question re road....dedicated.....shared driveway(s)....if drainage problem does not adversely affect Burnham Hts. residents...Mr. Nunan mentioned several issues about which Plng. Bd. concerned...Mr. Carlson sd. that before district cld. be formed, need several answers...Trustee Pope sd. that none of four residents 'legally' connected to sewer....Mr. Carlson sd. normally wld. have an "out-of-district" agreement....VOP wld. have no recourse if sewer rentals unpd. - wth. an agreement amt. wld. be added to taxes.

Trustee Gilmore sd. annexation the way to go - wld. solve problem...have sme. VOP residents who do not have sewers....if sewer lines run, shld. be taxpayers of the VOP. Mr. Carlson sd. that zoning varies significantly frm. municipality-to-municipality....according to Mr. Gilmore, project appears to be part of VOP moreso than Macedon...wld. effect size of development, per Mr. Carlson. Discussion on whether or not 'contiguous' - Mayor Elliott drew sketch showing layout/angle of street - yes, 'contiguous' to VOP per Mayor. VOP/TOM have agreement re snowplowing, he sd....VOP maintains sd. street.

Only area he may border on in VOP is road itself asked by Mr. Carlson....on back he may join school property, that portion of which lies in TOM... per Mrs. McCoy, it borders Redmond's prop. If land is not contiguous, Mr. Carlson asked for interpretation frm. attorneys...Mr. Nesbitt cited a case where road owned by another municipality in cty. or town divides property..not rendered 'contiguous' as such...did not think 'legally' precluded frm. annexation...Mr. Bonafede in agreement. Question asked if wld. not depend upon boundary of owner's property....property stops at a given distance frm. ctr. of road...depends on if a dedicated highway, per Mr. Nesbitt...Mr. Kassel sd. has problem wth. annexation...even if a driveway that abuts Palmyra land, going behind to get to project...still Macedon....spot situation in itself. Wld. welcome them too, per Mr. Gilmore. Mr. Carlson spke. of higher cost of taxes. It was stated that TOM had problems wth. annexations in past.

Mr. Carlson sd. VOP shld. consider other things, i.e. capacity of sewer plant - if development outside VOP, beneficial re taxes/schl. district. Trustee Pope sd. that VOP has had history of consistently denying sewers for outside of VOP..wld. not vote in favor of extending sewer district....requested but did not receive. Once allowing a sewer district to be created outside the VOP, not contiguous to VOP, many people will request sewers and VOP wld. have to serve them. Mr. Carlson thought unique situation because four residents on Burnham Hts. have sewers. VOP cld. not explain why/how...occurred many yrs. ago and research in old Minutes did not reveal, per Mayor Elliott.

VB Motion:

Mayor Elliott prepared to motion, however, Trustee Gilmore asked to reaffirm Macedon's position that they wld. not accept annexation for subject parcel into the VOP....Mr. Carlson sd. 'probably' correct - wld. 'consider' the rejection/wld. not be in favor of annexation if sd. annexation wld. result in density contrary to what the zoning is in the Town of Macedon. Mr. Gilmore reviewed....if Mr. Nolan annexes into the VOP - and his density is in keeping wth. TOM zoning, wld. it be acceptable? Mr. S. Bumpus asked how wld. it be enforced - already have zoning that says he can get away wth. something else? Others wld. say, 'you did it for someone else, why can't you do for me'....although reversed....Mr. Nesbitt sd. to just re-zone to a new zone....wld. conform to capacity...did for VanBortel's in past. Trustee Wooden just having arrived @ 8:10 p.m., sd. it appeared two sets of zoning regs., both have to be adhered to....and was told "no"...if annexed into VOP wld. be strictly VOP. Mr. Nunan spoke of complications wth. prior annexations...where is line to be drawn? Macedon losing tax dollars if annexed, according to Mr. Kassel. Wld. depend a lot on equalization rate, per Mayor Elliott, VOP's 66%; Macedon's approx. 110%. Mr. Nesbitt sd. sme. say ea. dollar you gain in tax dollars by annexation will be spent in dollars on county services....is it a good deal for the VOP....Mr. Kassel sd. that generally TOM against any further annexations unless extremely valid reasons why....spoke of prerequisite of any municipality's agreement.

Trustee Frontuto reviewed...Town of Macedon Bd. in same position wth. annexation as VOP Bd. is wth. its position of giving services of water & sewer to parcels that do not belong to VOP. Mr. Carlson sd. 'may be'....other municipalities have done, which does not mean VOP improper in doing so...Trustee Wooden asked if project approved by TOM and informed 'in process' - concept approved by Planning Bd. - currently in their hands...lot of discussion in approval process revolving around whether or not sewers available....will have to be cleared up. Trustee Wooden sd. 'hazy' area re discussion on VOP involved in granting the approval of project....in a sense, per Trustee Pope, if VOP refuses to allow a sewer district - and the TOM won't allow annexation, will 'kill' the project. Trustee Wooden asked if allowing sewers only reason to hold project up and Mr. Carlson cld. not answer - questions re drainage - SEQUA responsibility of Plng. Bd. If sewers not to be available, no sense in resolving other problems....if sewers not there, project not there! Mr. Bumpus sd. Macedon had similar problems - extreme area - close to hook-up, wld. like to allow....if someone 100 ft. frm. line, just common sense to allow....if developer cld. run line - or Macedon - wld. be willing to clear up the problem...Mr. Bastian spoke of VOP/TOM agreement re water. Discussion of capacity of VOP...self-sufficient system - the more sewage you treat, cost goes up....balancing effect, per Mayor E. Trustee Pope sd. problem of hauling sludge...more districts which are added, more sludge. Referring to aforementioned undeveloped land in VOP, Macedon Bd. member asked if it might not be developed due to additional sludge. VOP Bd. cld. not say. Trustee Wooden sd. if districts opened up out of VOP...other districts out of VOP

000256

VOP/TOM, 7/10/89--4

will request....just makes sense, he sd. to annex the subject property to VOP. Pointed out that up to residents to decide - some came in on individual basis, per Mayor E.

Mayor Elliott then motioned that the VOP retain its position as previously passed that to give any sewer service in the VOP that it wld. have to be property that lies within the VOP. Trustee Pope seconded. Voting "aye" were Trustee Pope and Mayor Elliott; voting "nay" were Trustees Gilmore, Wooden & Frontuto. Motion defeated. Mr. Carlson requested that the VB motion as to what it intends to do - wld. give TOM sme. direction in which to go - if project approved. Mayor sd. the VOP must decide what it wants in the district....one parcel....nine ...what the VOP will accept. Trustee Pope sd. that three VB members who voted "nay" must state what they will allow. Trustee Frontuto thought the VB had sd. before....Mayor sd. proposal for that lot only without requiring annexation - property proposed to be divided into six lots, per Trustese Wooden. Trustee Pope, before voting, sd. he wld. like to hear what Burnham Hts. residents had to say as far as inclusion in a district; Trustee Wooden sd. he wld. like to hear comments re inclusion in the VOP.

Mr. Wm. Eddy said he is hooked into sewer and did not want to be included in a district - pays the VOP \$42. per qtr. - saw no reason to pay VOP upkeep on sewer. Mr. McCoy sd. that when they purchased hse. in '79, purchased agreement between VOP and they....satisfied wth. sewer service...hse. on sewer when moving there...no roll of records...we no way it wld. benefit them. Trustee Pope sd. that serious problem - the VOP cld. not continue service without a contract....against the law... set precedent, per Mr. Eddy, 25 yrs. ago.

Per Atty. Nesbitt, three ways to obtain legal sewer service - be part of the village, part of a special district or special contractual relationship....matter of policy best way to go....Mr. Eddy sd. 'gentleman's agreement'...Mr. McCoy sd. agreement began when he first pd. \$42. Mayor sd. in the eyes of the State of N.Y., not allowable...Trustee Gilmore sd. sounded as if "I've got mine, you get yours".....doesn't seem fair. Mr. McCoy asked if he's saying it wld. be more fair to pay for someone else....wld. have to pay more if problems. Paying double rent what VOP residents pay, per Mayor - Trustee Wooden sd. if sewer district being formed, why wld. they pay more....Mr. Carlson sd. it cld. be to their advantage to be pt. of district than paying double or whatever established. Trustee Pope pointed out that rates based upon water consumption - \$28. per qtr. in VOP, per Mayor.... amts. to about one and one-third....includes paying for upkeep although Mr. Eddy sd. he did not want to pay for everyone's - he's satisfied....question asked that if in a district, wld. he not be responsible to pay for the maintenance of only those in that property district - not entire VOP... depends on agreement....Mr. Nesbitt sd. money pd. to Village covers Village expenses....Mr. Carlson repeated Macedon's agreement wth. Town of Walworth re Gananda, i.e. - Walworth wld. maintain the lines and any repairs done to that line within Macedon

VOP/TOM, 7/10/89--5

wld. be cost-shared by the entire Walworth system... everyone pays same sewer rentals - if that goes up it goes up for everyone. Macedon charges extra for costs of administrative...if VOP to follow same policy, per Trustee Pope, Mr. Eddy wld. pay approx. \$28.+ sm. administ. fee - cheaper than now...Mr. Carlson nothing in tax....no cost incurred in putting in line...clear, pd. for. Only conceivable problem if there's a break...if pt. of an agreement that wld. be part of overall VOP district...wld. not affect...(discussion inaudible/voices indistinguishable)....Lady resident of Burnham Hts. sd. she had asked to be hooked in and was refused. When asked if interested now she sd. "no"...hookup charge in VOP for residents is \$100. - Macedon's cost is \$1,000. Further discussion. Mr. Bender spoke of plans to purchase property on Burnham Hts. and asked to whom they wld. pay. Not an issue, however, Mr. Carlson sd. Macedon's \$1000. for hook- up was for plant expansion.

Trustee Wooden asked 'big' reasons why Macedon wld. not consider annexation....density a reason, per Mr. Carlson...if VOP forms new zoning district in VOP to comply with Macedon's density, that issue wld. be 'out the window' and told "yes" by Mr. Carlson....problem was that they had been approached by Village of Macedon 4-5 times concerning annexation....Town Bd. had to take a stance - no end to Village seeking annexation...Tn. Bd. had to take position that it was time to stop annexation on routine basis. Trustee Wooden asked about comparison when VOP is offering all services to sd. area anyway.....this particular annexation makes more sense, per Mr. Carlson, than some others which were requested. Trustee Pope sd. no one insisting on annexation....Trustee Gilmore sd. people ask for, usually when their septic system goes bad...asking for sewers, per Mr. Pope. Trustee Gilmore cited case of the late Mr. Finewood....Macedon Bd. member sd. he wld. feel sme. as Mr. Eddy - wld. want to know 'dollars-and-cents' before making decision....not offering concrete \$\$\$'s &'s. Only numbers heard so far that contractual fee wld. be \$100. instead of \$1000. - and \$28. for one unit.....wld. they be charged one and one-third as they are paying now....to make logical decision, must say so much if remain as now....so much if changed....before getting to that point, Mr. Carlson sd. they had to know what VB wld. include. When established, will look @ figures involved, contact residents...'what do you want to do'???? Mr. Bonafede sd. asking residents what they want before VB makes a vote....impossible to answer without some financial information....Wouldn't know cost for their district, per Mayor... wld. know if annexed....sme. as Village resident...they wld. be paying VOP taxes...depends if entire west side or just Nolan prop., per Mr. Wooden....Mr. Pope sd. that if TOM created a sewer district that encompassed all of current properties on west sde. of Burnham and/or Mr. Nolan's property, wld. those residents who do not currently have sewers be forced to...."yes" if district formed, per Mr. Carlson....wld. be part of requirement. At some point septic system will fail...wld. assume that sewer connection wld. be desired then...your sewer district wld. not allow that delay. Mr. Carlson

000260

sd. 'correct' if they were pt. of initial sewer district....Mr. Carlson sd., according to his attendance at a recent seminar, will be commonplce. for disposal of wastewtr. to be in \$1000.-yr. - numbers involved in question extremely low...Mr. McCoy spke. of people who are not on sewer and charges to put in sewer & hookup charge, sd. payment wld. help Mr. Nolan develop six parcels. Mr. Carlson did not think so...wld. be their payment for ridding themselves of a potential problem and raising the value of their house... don't think helping anyone but themselves. Sme. of west side residents disagreed...Mr. Carlson sd. wld. benefit them - many residents want desperately to be on Village sewer....cost in \$700.-\$800 yr. for them...Trustee Wooden asked if cld. not sewer districts be formed wth. just entailing new construction...told it wld. be the way the map drawn...Mr. Bonafede sd. in his area (Marion), given one yr. to hook up. Mr. Carlson sd. ord. states 'hook-up when available'....D. Gilmore asked if cld. be adjusted/added to....told not without village's consent - wld. have no district without way to dispose of product/VOP has the plant...only growth in a district is in assessed value...won't in this district because no more room for growth in the district... physical lines of a district cld. be extended...Trustee Gilmore suggested that serious thought be given to allow annexation...Trustee Wooden asked who among the residents present had a septic system & lady resident sd. she had had one since '59 and never been pumped out. She reiterated that three Burnham Hts. residents asked in past/refused....not right to let these people have it, she sd. If development behind her house, Trustee Wooden asked if she'd accept if they had septic systems and she sd. not if as close as shown on plans....comes right to edge of her garden, she sd....closer than she wants it. "Not in my backyard" quoted by person unknown. Mr. R. Kirchhoff sd. that ones currently in existence do 'pass the test'....property in question did not "perc"/can't put sewer systems in....discussion.... Mr. Wooden sd. hopefully Macedon Planning Bd. addressed this... heard bad situation up there wth. land re drainage, etc. - wld. make sense to have sewers there, he sd....environmentally only.

Mr. Carlson sd. discussion wth. residents wld. be better/more appropriate between Macedon Town Bd. & residents - once it's determined whether to be allowed...what to be charged...what hook-up costs wld. be...then TOM Bd. wld. approach the residents and go from there....before that have to know what VB going to accept or not accept. Trustee Pope sd. that the VB twice voted against motion to restrict sewers to VOP residents....3-2 vote...willing to extend sewers outside the VOP...how much of Burnham Hts. willing to extend to - if willing, he felt wld. have to be extended to all...not carve out one piece of prop. and keep telling others to annex to VOP - does not make sense. VB voted twice not to follow the annexation route as far as Burnham Hts. concerned....having made that commitment, VB ought to extend sewer service to everybody Burnham Hts. - four already in/better let rest in....whether by district or individual contracts as they come up... VOP cld. make contract wth. Mr. Nolan which cld.

VOP/TOM, 7/10/89--7

become pt. of deed to the properties there allowing sewer connection for that property with a contract for others as they come up....TOM create the district and VOP take it....Mr. Carlson agreed.

Trustee Gilmore sd. he agreed wth. taking them in - as they come (contrary to how he feels, he sd.) - but VB needs to re-evaluate what charged for out-of-Village services...more in line wth. what they wld. be paying if VOP taxpayers...Trustee Pope sd. makes no sense to have different rates....Trustee Gilmore sd. shld. be higher rate...Trustee Pope sd. taxes have nothing to do wth. situation - separate. Pay water/service rents and VOP taxes for services other than W&S....discussion between Trustees Pope & Gilmore re services offered....Trustee Gilmore sd. in lieu of annexation, shld. pay higher rate. Mayor Elliott sd. initial bond service pd. this yr. on sewers - other indebtedness re extensive work done on Sewer Plant. Trustee Wooden didn't feel VB shld. act until known if TOM will grant project...can't, per Mayor....Trustee Pope sd. he felt VB had gone on record enough times that Mr. Nolan applies for sewer/if someone applies on his behalf...he's going to get sewers...can grant sewers per Trustee Wooden, however, he still wanted to know if TOM to grant project....can't grant without sewers, per Mr. Carlson...Trustee Wooden sd. that Mr. Nunan sd. five matters holding up process....informed by Mr. Carlson that roadway biggest obstacle... whether it shld. be thoroughfare....drainage - will it adversely affect residents...another Bd. member sd. that SEQUA questions need to be answered...private road agreement...also maintenance/how to be funded. ??? (Deep hole tests) have to be performed...drainage/storm sewers - curbs or swales...dedication of road/easements....sewer one of many issues...

Trustee Pope asked if any VB member wld. deny connection to sewer...once everything approved.... one way or another he will get sewer connection... as far as Town of Macedon concerned, Nolan's project will get sewers....Mr. Carlson asked when VB wld. want to address what VOP wld. allow sewers and terms of agreement...Trustee Pope sd. VB had to determine whether or not they want a district...or just by contract....already have four on contract, oral which may be put in writing...why not add more....Mr. Bender asked if VB given up annexation altogether...allow Macedon to have tax revenue plus give them a \$28. sewer rate....\$42. now. Mr. Bender strongly expressed his opinion if VB to proceed....seems that VB creating annexation of the property to VOP....TOM wld. still receive the taxes, per Trustee Wooden....no one on VB who does not want them to annex to VOP, per Trustee Gilmore but if the TOM won't allow what to be done??? Mr. Bender suggested discussing potential annexation wth. developer...Trustee Gilmore asked him and he was unsure....

Trustee Pope sd. not suggesting giving to Mr. Nolan something four other people don't have on Burnham Hts. Mr. Bender sd. what VB did now shld. have no bearing on what's been done in past..if reasonable enough about it, he sd., Macedon shld. consider annexation...would welcome, sd. Trustee Gilmore.... Mayor Elliott agreed wth. Mr. Bender - his position

000262

was/is annex or don't get...majority of VB sd. may have without...Mrs. E. Hartnagle sd. VB voted once they wld. give sewers outside VOP...Mayor sd. his motion to that effect was voted down...agreement wth. Mr. Nolan pre-dated that motion, per D. Gilmore....not an agreement, per Mayor...."wld. consider a proposal for a district"....Trustee Frontuto...no matter what it sd. what was understood was something different....it looked as if the VB was changing its mind/backing down to an agreement to what was perceived to have taken place. Trustee Pope stated that he had changed his position based on new information he had rec'd - the Mayor sd. what's before the Bd. is whether or not to form a district - have Macedon propose a district for one property/nine properties or contracts - Atty. Nesbitt recommended VOP not doing contract situation/better off doing a district... Trustee Pope asked why and told that Macedon wld. 'police' the compliance of people re payments - all VOP does is collect the check...don't have to sue for collection...they wld. have power to put on our tax rolls/VOP wld. not. Out-of-district user disc. by VB....Trustee Pope sd. VB has sd. by 2-3 votes wld. not require annexation of Nolan property to give them sewers...Trustee Gilmore reviewed...VB talking about Mr. Nolan's property. Trustee Pope's position, since VB sd. it wld. accept a district of Burnham Hts. outside of the VOP, do the whole of B.Hts....not one piece of prop. D. Gilmore sd. mistake mde. to Mr. Nolan/must rectify....until now he has maintained, consistently, if person wants sewer hook-up must be in VOP....he then moved that the VOP form a district encompassing Nolan property for outside sewer connection....can't force district, per Atty. Nesbitt, two 50% rules - must have 50% of the voters in total assessed valuation - even if Bds. agree on 100% contours of district, does not necessarily mean the people - some of whom present/some not - TOM Bd. go back and see what they can put together. Mr. Carlson sd. they wanted to know what the VOP allowing them to do - if VOP allows them to include residents on Burn. Hts., then TOM will go to sd. residents, determine who does not want to be involved in the district....when accomplished, can petition the VOP wth. the sewer service for whatever boundaries reasonable to TOM Bd. - need to know maximum district allowed to do....no need to discuss with residents if not to be allowed...

Trustee Pope sd. Trustee Gilmore mde. motion to limit to the Nolan property - was not seconded... Mr. Gilmore called for a second, following which Trustee Frontuto seconded for discussion. Trustee Frontuto asked for review of motion - Atty. Nesbitt sd. VB voted in concept to contract to work wth. a sewer district borne by the TOM...does the Town Bd. have any preferences....as to what the contours of that district is??? Shld. it be limited to Nolan property - why wld. VB do that? Trustee Gilmore sd. because Nolan property only one on which VB acted wth. inconsistency....Atty. Nesbitt pointed out the inconsistencies....sme. side of street...one can do by special district/another by annexation/others don't need anything...discussion by both Bds. on straightening out the existing problem on Burn. Hts. re sewers....Trustees Gilmore & Frontuto withdrew motion & second, respectively. Mr. Gilmore felt annexation wld. straighten out the

VOP/TOM, 7/10/89--10

Highway
Assign.:

VB discussion on assignment to Highway Dept. by a person serving probation (has to serve 170 hrs. of community service); Trustee Frontuto wld. like to know nature of offense prior to approval - VB agreed.

Citizen
Freyn:

Mrs. K. Freyn complained of neighbors parking across sidewalk. and P.D. not issuing tickets. Bd. took note - matter to be brought to attention of P.D.

Adjourn-
ment:

At 9:30 p.m. Trustee Frontuto moved adjournment; Trustee Pope seconded. Voting "aye" were Trustees Frontuto, Pope, Gilmore & Wooden & Mayor Elliott. Carried.

(Minutes recorded by tape &
notes taken by E. Johnson,
Clerk)

VOP/TOM, 7/10/89--9

potential problem(s) there...Trustee Pope, then, moved that the VOP entertain a sewer district proposal from the Town of Macedon in whatever conformity they wish to bring forward to the Burnham Hts. neighborhood area. Trustee Frontuto seconded. Mayor Elliott asked if any further discussion - when there was none he called for a vote - Trustees Pope, Frontuto & Wooden voted "aye"; Trustee Gilmore & Mayor Elliott voted "nay." Carried by majority.

Mr. Carlson sd. TOM wld. need to meet with appropriate Bd. members, attorneys, etc. - must draft an agreement re terms before talking with Burnham Hts. residents...need to know what charges will be, what the hook-up charges are....speculated on waiting for outcome of Nolan property....set up as soon as they have approval. Departure for Town of Macedon Bd. & Burnham Hts. residents @ 9:10 p.m.

OtherBusiness:

Re the Wayne County Village Officials' Assoc. dnr. - meeting, hosted by the Village of Clyde on 7/19/89, Mayor Elliott moved that the VB approve attendance by any VOP officials wishing to attend. Trustee Pope seconded. Voting "aye" were Mayor Elliott & Trustees Pope, Frontuto, Gilmore & Wooden. Carried.

Fire Cont.Tn./Manch.:

Trustee Gilmore spoke of his intent to attend a public hearing on 7/11/89 conducted by the Town of Manchester re Fire Contract to expire on 12/31/89. Proposals for 1990 & 1991 are \$2,393. & \$2,632., respectively. VB previously discussed increases in assessment by Town of Manchester re water line.

F.D. Member-ship App.:

Trustee Gilmore submitted the F.D. application of Mr. John G. Walker, 445 W. Main St., for VB approval, following which he moved acceptance pending physical exam. Trustee Wooden seconded. Voting "aye" were Trustees Gilmore, Wooden, Pope & Frontuto & Mayor Elliott. Carried.

Paving/Fost. Street:

Trustee Wooden presented the VB with need for decision re paving Foster St. - whether or not to use CHIPS money - if taken out of reserve, wld. need permissive referendum/wld. hold up project...sd. street may not be completed current year...CHIPS rep. sd. VOP may use two years CHIPS money for one project/carryover. Trustee Pope sd. that the amt. of \$20,000. went into contingency - he suggested/recommended VB authorization for \$10,000. to get project underway/permissive referendum for balance - Trustee Wooden then so moved. Trustee Gilmore seconded. Mayor sd. VB was to have figures - Trustee Wooden has those he sd. Discussion. VB wanted to do Canal St., per Trustee Wooden; Highway. Supt. Retan suggested Foster St. due to bridge construction - Canal St. area heavily traveled currently. Trustee Gilmore thought he had rec'd sme. figures but was for paving, per Trustee Wooden - Trustee Pope had seen nothing - figures were to have come some time ago...requested withdrawal of foregoing motion until figures rec'd whether done current yr. or not. Mayor called for a vote re above action - voting "aye" were Trustees Wooden & Gilmore & voting "nay" were Trustees Pope & Frontuto & Mayor Elliott. Motion defeated.